## Woodthorpe Primary School <br> Pupil Premium Report

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.
It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

## School Overview

| Detail | Data |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of pupils in school | 395 R - 6 \& 44 Nursery |
| Proportion (\%) of pupil premium eligible pupils | $23 \%$ (91 pupil) |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers <br> (3 year plans are recommended) | $2023-2027$ |
| Date this statement was published | September 2023 |
| Date on which it will be reviewed | September 2024 |
| Statement authorised by | J McGann Headteacher |
| Pupil premium lead | C Grason-Taylor Deputy Headteacher |
| Governor / Trustee lead | J Parker Chair of the School Governing Body |

## Funding overview

| Detail | Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year | $£ 115,670$ |
| Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year | $£ 8265$ <br> $£ 6902$ (Tuition element) <br> $£ 15,167$ |
| Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter $£ 0$ if not applicable) | $£ 0$ |
| Total budget for this academic year <br> If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this <br> academic year | $£ 130,837$ |

## Statement of Intent

At Woodthorpe, we share a relentless drive to ensure that day-to-day provision allows all children to achieve their full potential. For our children in receipt of pupil funding, we have created carefully designed systems in order to stimulate engagement, broaden horizons, develop skills, improve performance, raise aspirations and maximise achievement in order to close the attainment gap between our disadvantaged students and their non-disadvantaged peers.

Our school strategy places our most vulnerable learners at the centre of what we do within school. We ensure that within pupil progress BRAG meetings, these are the children who are discussed in most detail and who are tracked the closest. We then aim to offer a graduated response to pupil's needs which takes into account the research conducted by the Education Endowment Foundation.

Our key principle is that no single intervention is going to address the attainment gap between disadvantaged pupils and their peers. Therefore, we aim to build on progress day in, day out. We aim to ensure that the quality of education for these pupils is the best it can possibly be and, leaving nothing to chance, our 'vulnerable' pupils are the most monitored group of pupils within the school.


Map showing the contrast between 'wards' within the school's catchment. Light green shows an area of lower deprivation, the brown area shows an area of very high deprivation.

## Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

| Challenge number | Detail of challenge |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | The attendance of our most disadvantaged pupils remains lower than non-disadvantaged pupils. In the academic year 2022 / 2023 there was a <br> $2.8 \%$ difference in attendance between both groups. The school did achieve higher than primary national averages for both groups. |
| 2 | The attainment of disadvantaged pupils has high variance throughout the school. In some areas, attainment for disadvantaged pupils is higher <br> than non-disadvantaged peers. However, this is not consistent throughout the school and is linked closely to the \% of disadvantaged pupils who <br> may also have a special educational need. |
| 3 | Many pupils are identified in EYFS as having communication / language barriers that might limit progress as they move through school if left <br> unchallenged. Many of these pupils are identified as 'possibly' vulnerable as their families might not have applied for the premium at the point <br> that children start within the school. |
| 4 | All children learn best when they have an outstanding teacher. To ensure that the \% of outstanding teachers within school continues to rise, we <br> ensure that every adult is exposed to high quality CPD linked to educational research. |
| 5 | Families needing additional support. These are families who have been identified by Social Services or by the school as requiring additional need. <br> The school's 'Pastoral List' identifies a higher \% of need within PP children. |

## Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for by the end of our current strategy plan, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

| Intended Outcome | Success Criteria |
| :--- | :--- |
| Progress in Reading | Disadvantaged pupils achieve a positive progress score of at least +1.0 in KS2 Reading |
| Progress in writing | Disadvantaged pupils achieve a positive progress score of at least +1.0 in KS2 Writing |
| Progress in maths | Disadvantaged pupils achieve a positive progress score of at least +1.0 in KS2 Maths |
| Phonics | $100 \%$ of disadvantaged pupils to achieve the expected standard within the year 1 phonics check with all SEN PP <br> children receiving additional catch-up intervention if they are not on track to achieve this. |
| Attendance | Ensure that the attendance of disadvantaged pupils is above $95 \%$ |


| Tiered approach | Cost | Action linked to EEF typical gains / Evidence that supports this approach. | Activity | Challenge number addressed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teaching | £40,000 | Staff \& TA CPD programme, with clear structure \& standards for all. <br> - 'Ensuring an effective teacher is in front of every class, and that every teacher is supported to keep improving, is the key ingredient of a successful school and should rightly be a top priority for pupil premium spending.' (EEF) | To ensure that each staff member is engaged within CPD activities to ensure improved outcomes for all pupils. <br> Use of the Walkthru CPD package forms the bases of staff CPD. Phonics teaching is supported via a CPD package - Little Wandle. | 4,2 |
| Targeted Academic Support | £12,000 | Continue the development of bespoke speech and language support <br> - 'On average, oral language approaches have a high impact on pupil outcomes of 6 months' additional progress.' (EEF) | To ensure that all disadvantaged pupils are screened using the Welcomm Speech and Language tool and that all pupils who are identified receive additional support if needed. <br> The EYFS Leader to ensure that all subjects taught within the unit have clear key knowledge and vocabulary identified. <br> EYFS Leader to complete ELKLAN training. | 3 |
|  | £11,500 | Targeted focused daily intervention <br> - 'Considering how classroom teachers and teaching assistants can provide targeted academic support, such as linking structured small group interventions to classroom teaching and the curriculum, is likely to be an essential ingredient of an effective pupil premium strategy. ' (EEF) | Accelerated Reader \& Little Wandle phonics assessments are used to ensure a tight focus between assessed need and intervention. Disadvantaged pupil's reading and phonics remains the priority for interventions across school. | 2 |
|  | £28,000 | Phonics interventions <br> - 'Phonics has a positive impact overall (+5 months) with very extensive evidence and is an important component in the development of early reading skills, particularly for children from disadvantaged backgrounds.' (EEF) | To ensure that all disadvantaged pupils are given reading catch-up intervention if they are working below the average standard of their peers. <br> Specialised teaching assistant in 'reading champion' role to target phonics interventions across school. <br> To ensure that the reading books that pupils have for independent reading closely match their current phonics stage. | 2 |
| Wider strategies | £12,000 | Continue to support attendance, lateness and persistent absentees <br> - 'Pupil premium intervention strategies are likely to be most effective when deployed alongside efforts to improve teaching and attend wider barriers to learning, such as attendance and behaviour.' <br> - 'Given the impact of the pandemic, issues such as securing high levels of attendance may be more | To ensure that all disadvantaged pupils have their attendance closely monitored and are supported in order to arrive at school on time and ready for each day. <br> Disadvantaged pupils remain a priority for attendance team with regular check ins and support for families. | 1 |


|  |  | prominent for schools as they develop their strategy.' <br> (EEF) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{E 3 5 , 0 0 0}$ | Development of wellbeing \& disadvantaged pupil champion <br> $\bullet$ 'The most significant non-academic barriers to <br> success in school, include attendance, behaviour and <br> social and emotional support.' (EEF) | To ensure that disadvantaged children are supported emotionally by <br> dedicated support from our Children's Champions where needed. <br> To collect feedback from daily pupil voice that enables school leaders <br> to monitor pupils' wellbeing throughout the school. <br> When making decisions, mental and physical wellbeing remain a <br> priority for leaders within school. |

## Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

## EYFS (validated 2023 data unavailable)

| Indicator Eligible Cohort' | Disadvantaged Pupils: Disadvantaged |  |  | All Pupils |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School <br> 5 <br> Value | NCER National 90,310 |  | School <br> 59 |  | NCER National 616,860 |  |
|  |  | Gap | Value | Gap | Value | Gap | Value |
| Good level of development ${ }^{2}$ - | 40.0\% | $-9.5 \%$ | 49.5\% | $-29.5 \%$ | 69.5\% | $-25.2 \%$ | 65.2\% |
| Average total point score | 31.0 | $+1.6$ | 29.4 | $-1.0$ | 32.0 | -0.1 | 31.1 |
| All: At least expected | 40.0\% | $-7.5 \%$ | 47.5\% | $-29.5 \%$ | 69.5\% | $-23.4 \%$ | 63.4\% |
| Prime: At least expected | 60.0\% | -1.3\% | 61.3\% | $-16.3 \%$ | 76.3\% | $-14.2 \%$ | 74.2\% |
| COM: At least expected ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 80.0\% | $+11.2 \%$ | 68.8\% | $-8.1 \%$ | 88.1\% | +0.5\% | 79.5\% |
| PSE: At least expected ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 80.0\% | $+6.4 \%$ | 73.6\% | $-4.7 \%$ | 84.7\% | $-3.0 \%$ | 83.0\% |
| PHY: At least expected $\bullet$ | 60.0\% | $-15.6 \%$ | 75.6\% | $-21.4 \%$ | 81.4\% | $-24.9 \%$ | 84.9\% |
| Specific: At least expected | 40.0\% | $-8.8 \%$ | 48.8\% | $-31.2 \%$ | 71.2\% | $-24.9 \%$ | 64.9\% |
| LIT: At least expected $\bullet$ | 40.0\% | $-12.2 \%$ | 52.2\% | $-34.6 \%$ | 74.6\% |  | 68.0\% |
| MAT: At least expected ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 60.0\% | $-1.7 \%$ | 61.7\% | $-19.7 \%$ | 79.7\% | $-15.9 \%$ | 75.9\% |
| UTW: At least expected | 100.0\% | $+32.1 \%$ | 67.9\% | $+10.2 \%$ | 89.8\% | $+20.4 \%$ | 79.6\% |
| EXP: At least expected | 80.0\% | $+4.1 \%$ | 75.9\% | $-11.5 \%$ | 91.5\% | $-4.5 \%$ | 84.5\% |


| Phonics (validated 2023 data unavailable) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estab. Name | Cohort | No Score | 0-15 | Mark |  |  | 37-40 | APS | Outcome |  |  |  |  |
| Estab. <br> No. |  |  |  |  | 16-23 | 24-31 | 32-36 |  |  | Q | A | D | WT | WA |
| - | NCER National | 631,730 | 2.8\% | 9.7\% | 5.1\% | 6.9\% | 30.6\% | 44.9\% | 32.3 | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 2.4\% | 21.7\% | 75.5\% |
|  | Disadvantaged | 127,370 | 3.9\% | 17.6\% | 7.6\% | 8.4\% | 29.8\% | 32.7\% | 28.8 | - | 0.6\% | 3.4\% | 33.6\% | 62.5\% |
|  | Non Disadvantaged | 456,000 | 2.5\% | 7.5\% | 4.4\% | 6.5\% | 30.8\% | 48.3\% | 33.2 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 2.1\% | 18.4\% | 79.1\% |
|  | Unknown | 48,360 | 3.2\% | 9.7\% | 4.9\% | 6.9\% | 30.7\% | 44.6\% | 32.3 | - | 0.6\% | 2.7\% | 21.4\% | 75.3\% |
| 2027 | Woodthorpe Primary School | 55 | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 5.5\% | 36.4\% | 50.9\% | 34.9 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.7\% | 87.3\% |
|  | Disadvantaged | 12 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% | 16.7\% | 25.0\% | 41.7\% | 32.0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 33.3\% | 66.7\% |
|  | Non Disadvantaged | 43 | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 39.5\% | 53.5\% | 35.7 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 93.0\% |

## KS1 (validated 2023 data unavailable)

## NCER National

## Subject

## Reading

Disadvantaged
Non Disadvantaged
Unknown

Writing
Disadvantaged
Non Disadvantaged
Unknown

Maths
Disadvantaged
Non Disadvantaged
Unknown

| Cohort | - A | - EM | - PK1 | - PK2 | - PK3 | - PK4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WTS/ } \\ & \text { HNM } \end{aligned}$ | - EXS | - GDS | <EXS | ZEXS | - GDS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 634,150 | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 1.4\% | 2.8\% | 3.9\% | 22.9\% | 48.9\% | 18.0\% | 32.8\% | 66.9\% | 18.0\% |
| 139,920 | 0.2\% | 1.2\% | 1.6\% | 2.5\% | 5.2\% | 6.8\% | 30.8\% | 43.3\% | 8.3\% | $48.2 \%$ | 51.6\% | 8.3\% |
| 445,300 | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 3.0\% | 20.5\% | 50.8\% | 20.9\% | 28.0\% | 71.7\% | 20.9\% |
| 48,930 | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 1.2\% | 1.6\% | 2.8\% | 4.0\% | 22.0\% | 48.1\% | 19.1\% | $32.5 \%$ | 67.2\% | 19.1\% |
| 634,150 | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 1.2\% | 1.7\% | 2.9\% | 4.5\% | 30.9\% | 49.6\% | 8.0\% | $42.0 \%$ | 57.6\% | 8.0\% |
| 139,920 | 0.2\% | 1.3\% | 1.9\% | 3.3\% | 5.3\% | 7.7\% | 39.2\% | 38.0\% | 3.1\% | $58.6 \%$ | 41.2\% | 3.1\% |
| 445,300 | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.9\% | 1.2\% | 2.1\% | 3.5\% | 28.5\% | 53.3\% | 9.4\% | 37.0\% | 62.7\% | 9.4\% |
| 48,930 | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 1.4\% | 1.9\% | 2.9\% | 4.5\% | 29.3\% | 49.5\% | 9.2\% | 41.0\% | 58.6\% | 9.2\% |
| 634,160 | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 1.9\% | 4.1\% | 23.9\% | 52.6\% | 15.1\% | 32.1\% | 67.7\% | 15.1\% |
| 139,920 | 0.2\% | 1.2\% | 0.9\% | 1.2\% | 3.5\% | 7.5\% | 33.5\% | 45.2\% | 6.8\% | 47.9\% | 52.0\% | 6.8\% |
| 445,310 | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 1.4\% | 3.0\% | 21.0\% | 55.0\% | 17.6\% | 27.1\% | 72.6\% | 17.6\% |
| 48,930 | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 2.1\% | 4.3\% | 23.2\% | 51.7\% | 16.1\% | $32.0 \%$ | 67.7\% | 16.1\% |


| Woodthorpe Primary School (2027) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subject | Cohort | - A | - EM | - PK1 | - PK2 | PK3 | - PK4 | - WTS I <br> HNM | - EXS | - GDS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { <EXS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ZEXS | - GDS |
| Reading | 58 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 27.6\% | 46.6\% | 24.1\% | 29.3\% | 70.7\% | 24.1\% |
| Disadvantaged | 10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 60.0\% | 30.0\% | 10.0\% | 60.0\% | 40.0\% | 10.0\% |
| Non Disadvantaged | 48 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.8\% | 50.0\% | 27.1\% | 22.9\% | 77.1\% | 27.1\% |
| Writing | 58 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 25.9\% | 53.4\% | 15.5\% | 31.0\% | 69.0\% | 15.5\% |
| Disadvantaged | 10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.0\% | 30.0\% | 50.0\% | 0.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Non Disadvantaged | 48 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 25.0\% | 54.2\% | 18.8\% | 27.1\% | 72.9\% | 18.8\% |
| Maths | 58 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 25.9\% | 46.6\% | 24.1\% | 29.3\% | 70.7\% | 24.1\% |
| Disadvantaged | 10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.0\% | 0.0\% | 50.0\% | 20.0\% | 20.0\% | 60.0\% | 40.0\% | 20.0\% |
| Non Disadvantaged | 48 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 20.8\% | 52.1\% | 25.0\% | 22.9\% | 77.1\% | 25.0\% |
| Science | 58 | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | 25.9\% | 74.1\% | - | 25.9\% | 74.1\% | - |
| Disadvantaged | 10 | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | 60.0\% | 40.0\% | - | 60.0\% | 40.0\% | - |
| Non Disadvantaged | 48 | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | 18.8\% | 81.3\% | - | 18.8\% | 81.3\% | - |

## KS2 - 2022 (validated 2023 data unavailable)

| Estab No. | Estab. Name | Total Cohort | Avg. KS1 <br> Pt Score | READING |  |  |  | WRITING |  |  |  | MATHS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Cover. | \% Prog. <br> Score $\geq 0$ | Avg. Prog. Score | Conf. Int. | Cover. | \% Prog. Score $\geq 0$ | Avg. Prog. Score. | Conf. Int. | Cover. | \% Prog. <br> Score $\geq 0$ | Avg. Prog. Score. | Conf. Int. |
| - | NCER National (all schools) | 650,730 | 7.8 | 93.0\% | 52.0\% | +0.04 | $\pm 0.02$ | 93.0\% | 56.0\% | +0.06 | $\pm 0.02$ | 93.0\% | 51.0\% | +0.05 | $\pm 0.01$ |
|  | Disadvantaged | 177,080 | 7.2 | 93.0\% | 46.0\% | -0.81 | $\pm 0.03$ | 93.0\% | 51.0\% | -0.73 | $\pm 0.03$ | 93.0\% | 43.0\% | -1.12 | $\pm 0.03$ |
|  | Non Disadvantaged | 426,800 | 8.0 | 93.0\% | 54.0\% | +0.41 | $\pm 0.02$ | 93.0\% | 58.0\% | +0.40 | $\pm 0.02$ | 93.0\% | 54.0\% | +0.55 | $\pm 0.02$ |
|  | Unknown | 46,850 | 7.8 | 92.0\% | 52.0\% | -0.01 | $\pm 0.06$ | 92.0\% | 55.0\% | -0.01 | $\pm 0.06$ | 92.0\% | 50.0\% | -0.06 | $\pm 0.06$ |
| 2027 | Woodthorpe Primary School | 59 | 7.3 | 94.9\% | 51.8\% | -0.29 | $\pm 1.65$ | 98.3\% | 65.5\% | +1.16 | $\pm 1.55$ | 94.9\% | 57.1\% | +0.57 | $\pm 1.53$ |
|  | Disadvantaged | 20 | 6.9 | 90.0\% | 55.6\% | +0.69 | $\pm 2.90$ | 100.0\% | 65.0\% | -0.15 | $\pm 2.65$ | 90.0\% | 50.0\% | -0.35 | $\pm 2.70$ |
|  | Non Disadvantaged | 39 | 7.6 | 97.4\% | 50.0\% | -0.75 | $\pm 2.00$ | 97.4\% | 65.8\% | +1.84 | $\pm 1.92$ | 97.4\% | 60.5\% | +1.01 | $\pm 1.86$ |


| External Provided Programmes |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| TT Rockstars | Timetables practice tool |
| Jigsaw | PSHE Curriculum |
| Spelling Shed | Online spelling platform |
| Precision Teaching | Targeted intervention at core areas of learning. |
| STAR Reader | Reading assessment tool |
| White Rose Maths | Maths curriculum |
| Little Wandle 'LS' | Accredited phonics scheme |
| Phonics Tracker | Phonics assessment tool |
| KAPOW Curriculum | To ensure a rick curriculum in foundation subjects |

